A05: ULD Care and Cost Efficiency

Envirotainer°

The Active Cold Che

ULD Care Annual Conference Mainz, Germany September 9th, 2014

Agenda

- Envirotainer Overview
- Cost optimization for shippers
- The Integrated Solution
- Cost savings with integration
- Cost efficiency and ULD
- Hidden Costs, By Bob Rodgers

Who we are

We are the pioneer supplier of temperaturecontrolled ULD transportation solutions for the life science industry

- Over 4000 containers in own rental fleet
- A global network of stations for maintenance and repair
- Represented in over 50 countries
- Operations Centers in Frankfurt, Dallas and Singapore
- HQ, R&D, QA and Manufacturing in Sweden
- Founded in 1985
- Privately held







Container types and sizes for all temperature demands

	Electrical heating and compressor cooling		RAP80/03PC P2 Environaline*
+15 to +25℃	Controlled room temperature	RKN e1	RAP e2
+2 to +8 ℃	Refrigerated		
> -20 ℃	Frozen	RKN t2	RAP t2
	Dry ice cooling		



Damage is a large part of Envirotainer's overall cost

- It is estimated that every container in Envirotainer's fleet will have roughly 7 repairs per year.
- Envirotainer's average repair cost is USD 500.00

• Envirotainer's yearly repair cost is in the neighborhood of USD 14,000,000.00







Our Partners need to manage that cost as well



Cost optimization for shippers



Envirotainer[°] The Active Cold Chain

Total Landed Cost addresses all costs, not only transportation

Before - Packing	RKN e1	Passive	During - Shipment	RKN e1	Passive	After - Reception	RKN e1	Passive
Pre-cooling of products and solution	18	40	Trucking origin	100	210	Unpacking/Unloading	236	525
Packing vs Loading	18	120	Freight cost, fuel & security surcharge	3,488	3,988	Waste disposal vs return logistics	150	525
Energy source	8	0	Special handling needed	0	0	Follow up cost	0	0
Equipment cost and cool packs vs leasing	2,810	1,674	Trucking destination	150	360			
Total packing costs	2,853	1,834	Total shipment costs	3,738	4,558	Total reception costs	386	1,050

Passive solution	7,442
RKN e1	6,977
Savings per shipment	465
Savings per m3 shipped	422

SOURCE: Interviews; team-analysis

The most influential factor



RKN e1 – 4.8 m³



Passive – 7.69m³



The Integrated Solution





Today









What is SENTRY FlightSafe®

SENTRY is an FAA approved PED presently used by shippers, freight forwarders, integrators, and airlines to track and monitor vulnerable and valuable cargo in transit.





Tomorrow









Detachable Telemetry





Data is the future...



Example of external visualization

SHIPMENT	SUMMARY		
Booking start Booking end Container ID Trade lane AWB Lessee Shipper Forwarder Airline	2013-11-14 12:00 2013-11-18 12:38 RKN61002PC BLR - ATL	C Antonio Anto	
Temperature range Set temperature	+2.0°C to +8.0°C +5.0°C	CO. CO.	MS IC P
Logging time Log interval Logging start Logging end	94 hours, 20 minutes 5 minutes 2013-11-14 14:15 2013-11-18 12:35	ve Brasil 90 Pr At	10

SENSOR EXCURSIONS & TEMPERATURE PEAKS

Sensor	Status	Threshold	Actual	Timestamp	Position
Temperature, high	A	+8.0	+9,5°C	2013-11-14 12:30	HAL Airport Area, Bangalore, India
Note: The container minutes.	has experie	nced temperatu	ires outside th	he set threshold at 2 oc	casions of a total of 5 hours and 47



Date & time: 2013-11-14 12:30 Location: HAL Airport Area, Bangalore, India

Temperature low: +5.0°C 🥝



Date & time: 2013-11-14 12:40 Location: Smyrna, Georgia 30080, USA

Envirotainer's telemetry portal: Near live container reports available



Envirotainer's telemetry portal: See where your container has been...





Envirotainer's telemetry portal: ... and be notified upon arrival



Cost savings with integration



Envirotainer Total Cost Reduction

Lease Management

- Better utilization per unit
- Stronger availability from same fleet
- Predictive repositioning reducing repo need/cost
- Reduced time to market/manpower for customer reporting

Ground Handling

- Proactive maintenance scheduling
- Manpower management
- Unit recovery efficiencies

Cost Savings for Shippers

- Pre-alerting for possible temperature excursions
- Significantly reduced product loss/quarantine
- Reduced demurrage due to known locations
- Reduced container loss
- Quicker reporting to regulatory bodies during times of excursion



Cost efficiency and ULD



Is telemetry cost effective?



- Telemetry units have become more cost effective in the past 5 years
- Given # of container owned by airlines
- Simplified model of the Sentry device possible
- Costs for EV fleet is mostly covered by efficiencies gained

It is possible today

Media Release



CHEP Aerospace Solutions to begin field trial of energy-harvesting tracking solution for containers

24 June 2014

CHEP Aerospace Solutions, the leading independent provider of outsourced unit load device (ULD) and galley cart services, has announced the start of a field trial of an innovative new energy-harvesting GPS and GSM* tracking solution for ULDs on board the aircraft of its customer airlines.

This latest ground-breaking innovation complements CHEP's full service ULD management and has the potential to simplify and enrich the information flows that track cargo as it moves through the aviation supply chain. Customers will be able to use CHEP's ULDs as a proxy to track the movement of their shipment, while ensuring world-class asset control and damage reduction.

How can Airlines use telemetry?

- Close holes in the ULD transfer process
- Increase controls on who has ULDs in their possession
- Reduced forwarder to forwarder ULD transfers
- Reduce number of lost containers
- Better manage billing and collection of demurrage charges

OnAsset SENTRY FlightSafe®

Operational acceptance

Even though SENTRY only transmits data when the container is on the ground, it needs operational acceptance by the airline they fly with.

This is a two step approach:

- Acceptance of SENTRY supporting data available immediately
- Acceptance of integrated container/SENTRY supporting data available Oct 2014

Please note that it is the airline that needs to accept the device, not the authorities.



Hidden Costs



Hidden Costs

- Obvious costs include
 - Purchase
 - Direct repair costs
- Hidden Costs
 - Aircraft damage
 - Aircraft delays
 - Accidents and Injuries
 - etc

IATA Ground Damage Database

Ground Damage Database (GDDB)

The IATA Ground Damage Database is a key initiative supporting the IATA Global Ground Operations activities.



There has been a wide range of reporting practices for ground damage incidents which limit the accuracy and analysis capability to derive meaningful mitigations to solve this important issue. Key stakeholders from the Air Transport Industry collectively identified ground damage data fields that can and should be reported into the GDDB consistently amongst all participants, as well as the parameters for how it is to be reported

IATA Ground Damage Database (GDDB)



© 2013 International Air Transport Association (IATA). All Rights Reserved. No part of this graph may be reproduced, recast, reformatted or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written consent of IATA, Senior Vice President, Safety and Flight Operations; provided that IATA GDDB Participants may use this graph for their internal business purposes without the necessity of obtaining such consent.

IATA Ground Damage Database (GDDB)



© 2013 International Air Transport Association (IATA). All Rights Reserved. No part of this graph may be reproduced, recast, reformatted or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written consent of IATA, Senior Vice President, Safety and Flight Operations; provided that IATA GDDB Participants may use this graph for their internal business purposes without the necessity of obtaining such consent.

IATA Ground Damage Database (GDDB)



© 2013 International Air Transport Association (IATA). All Rights Reserved. No part of this graph may be reproduced, recast, reformatted or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written consent of IATA, Senior Vice President, Safety and Flight Operations; provided that IATA GDDB Participants may use this graph for their internal business purposes without the necessity of obtaining such consent.





ULDs jamming in the aircraft hold requiring excessive manual handling potentially resulting in amputations, lacerations, sprains, strains cuts and bruises. Also can lead to aircraft delays, aircraft damage and off loaded cargo.



Damage to the aircraft Guide Rail caused by Cargo Nets jamming





The above unserviceable net is an example of a net that should not have been used due to the net being damaged. As a result the aircraft in hold drive and locking systems can be damaged.

The net must not have any part of it dragging or sitting on any part of the Pallet Track.

Best Practice:

- Ensure any Cargo net is serviceable including all fittings
 - When securing the net make sure that there is no slack in the net and the Pallet Track is clear
 - If any Metal fittings are required to be replaced ensure the 'old' fitting is removed or restrained to ensure it will not
 - affect the movement of the pallet. (REFER: ICPM Section G & I)

Thank you for active listening!

Envirotainer[°] The Active Cold Chain

